

Language adding discretion to No Contact Directive procedures

Passed Language (Title Three, Art. III, Sec. B.2 (pg. 19, 2017).

- a. In cases involving allegations of harassment, abuse, assault, rape, or other menacing activity, the Judicial Administrator, after making a reasonable effort to meet with the accused if appropriate to do so, may issue a No-Contact Directive, **binding upon all involved parties.**
 - b. The Judicial Administrator shall make available to the accused the exact terms of the No-Contact Directive, as soon as it is issued.
 - c. In the event the Judicial Administrator is notified of a violation of the terms of the No-Contact Directive, the accused shall be provided with an opportunity to review the matter with the Judicial Administrator within two business days. If the Judicial Administrator determines, based upon the information available, that the No-Contact Directive has been violated, he or she may **impose additional interim measures or** suspend the accused temporarily, pending resolution of the underlying case.
- c. Such directives may be initially issued for a duration of up to 21 calendar days. Should the Judicial Administrator believe a No-Contact Directive remains necessary after that time, he or she may petition a University Hearing Board Chair to renew the directive for up to an additional 21 calendar days. Should the Chair choose to extend the directive, he or she may modify the directive's terms but may not supersede an active court order. Prior to a directive being renewed, the parties to the directive may submit written statements to the Chair for consideration. If additional renewals are requested by the Judicial Administrator, a different Hearing Board Chair shall review each additional request. Chairs may evaluate multiple renewal requests on the same case only if all other currently available Chairs have already reviewed an equal number of requests on that case.