Cornell University Employee Assembly  
Agenda for February 16th, 2022  
12:15-1:30  
Zoom  

“An Active Voice for Cornell Staff”

I. Call to Order  
   a. Roll Call  
   b. Land Acknowledgment of the Gayogoho-noaq (Cayuga Nation)  

II. Approval of the [February 2nd, 2022 minutes]

III. Business of the Day  
   a. Resolution 3: Enhancements to the Cornell University Onboarding Process  
   b. Free Period Product Initiative – Liz Davis-Frost  

IV. Committee Reports  
   a. Executive Committee (Andrea Haenlin-Mott)  
   b. Education and Development Committee (Marcy Benda)  
   c. Elections Committee (Marin Cherry)  
   d. Benefits and Policy Committee (Brian Goodell)  

V. New Business  
   a. Open Discussion  

VI. Adjournment  

We strive to make all events accessible. If you are in need of accommodations in order to fully participate, please contact the Office of the Assemblies at (607) 255-3715 or assembly@cornell.edu.
Cornell Employee Assembly
Minutes of the February 2nd, 2022 Meeting 12:15-1:30 PM
Zoom

I. Call to Order
   a. Chair J. Withers called the meeting to order at 12:15pm.

II. Roll Call
   Members Absent [7]: M. Brooks, J. Cannella, S. Coil, H. Depew, B. Goodell, K. Mahoney, J. Townley

III. Land Acknowledgement of the Gayogoho:no (Cayuga Nation)
   a. J. Withers stated the Land Acknowledgement.

IV. Approval of the January 19th, 2022 Minutes
   a. Motion to approve the January 19, 2022 minutes was approved unanimously.

V. Business of the Day
   a. Reginald White, Sr. Director, HR Research Division, Employee-Elected trustee amd Chair, Men of Color Colleague Network Group, overview of Employee-Elected Trustee Position
      1. R. White first acknowledged other members of the EA who have also served as an Employee-Elected Trustee.
      2. R. White stated that the Board of Trustees has governance over staff and its affiliates to ensure that Cornell continues to operate and makes decisions in the best interest of Cornell. R. White stated that there are 5 constituencies represented on the Board of Trustees, but that however, once trustees are elected, they represent all, and not simply their original constituent bodies. R. White noted that the Board of Trustees has a broad viewpoint on how Cornell operates between all its different pieces through committees such as the Research Committee or Development Committee.
      3. R. White stated that on the Board, the insight into Cornell that he has received has allowed him to grow his appreciation for the work that many have put into the university and expressed his love for the university.
      4. A. Mittman stated that the Trustees are very forward in their work, but also expressed amazement over the amount of work senior administrators put into preparing for meetings with the Trustees and sharing information. A. Mittman
noted occurrences such as sudden presentations and more that widened his perspective and emphasized the feeling of equality on the Board of Trustees. A. Mittman encouraged any who may be interested to try for the role when they have the chance.

5. J. Kruser stated that experience on the EA is very helpful when considering a position on the Board of Trustees. J. Kruser clarified that when on the Board, a Trustee is advocating for the best interest of the university, and not simply that of the staff, noting that there aren’t any committees centered around staff due to university leadership’s previous experience in HR roles. J. Kruser acknowledged the insight that an Employee-Elected Trustee brings through the day-to-day contact that staff members make with the campus and community.

6. B. Fortenberry thanked J. Kruser for his perspective and noted his slight confusion over having a Trustee elected for a group of constituents but not representing them, finding this slightly counterintuitive. B. Fortenberry questioned if there were any situations where a desire to advocate for staff conflicted with the general mission and role for the Board of Trustees.

7. R. White stated that overall, the broad decisions that the Board makes have impact on the community, so it is rare that decisions focus around staff. R. White clarified that the conversations on the Board are not advocacy based, but rather about sharing information, and that there aren’t any aims to make decisions that would harm the staff.

8. G. Giambattista noted, in reference to B. Fortenberry’s question, that the Employee-Elected Trustee offers the staff perspective to the Board to enhance overall experience.

9. A. Mittman echoed his support on R. White and J. Kruser’s experiences and noted the importance of the VP of HR role that is currently being filled by Mary Opperman, in response to B. Fortenberry’s question. A. Mittman stated that the position helps speak out as the voice of the staff, noting how strong of a presence and voice Mary Opperman has had advocating for staff to the Board.

10. R. White agreed with A. Mittman’s statement and emphasized the role that senior administrators have in helping to carry out decisions that the Trustees makes. R. White also noted the freedom that he, as a member of the Board, has to inquire and get involved in issues not singularly pertaining to staff.

11. K. Tannenbaum questioned if there was room for more elected Trustees from each constituent group on the Board, such as having 2 instead of 1.

12. R. White stated that the Board is very large, numbering around 64, so he’d question the presence of further members due to these high numbers.

13. J. Kruser emphasized a need for thoughtfulness when working as a Trustee and asking for information, to which R. White concurred.

14. A. Schafft acknowledged how much work the Trustees take on and questioned the time commitment of the role.

15. R. White stated that during the 2-3 days when Trustee meetings occur, these meetings take up all the time, and that besides this time is reading meeting
materials and preparing oneself for meetings. R. White emphasized the size of the role’s responsibility and its seriousness. R. White also noted the public component of some of the meetings and the Trustee’s role as a public figure which lends seriousness to one’s words when answering questions or making statements.

16. A. Haenlin-Mott expressed her thanks for R. White’s great work in the Board of Trustees and EA.
17. R. White thanked A. Haenlin-Mott and expressed his love and dedication to Cornell as he steps into a new role.
18. B. Fortenberry thanked R. White and questioned how R. White’s Trustee role would be filled as he steps into a new position outside of Cornell.
19. G. Giambattista stated that a committee will meet in coming weeks to schedule an election to fill this role until the end of term in 2 years.
20. J. Withers thanked R. White for all of his work.

VI. Committee Reports and Updates
   a. Executive Committee - A. Haenlin-Mott
      1. A. Haenlin-Mott stated that the Executive Committee has been busy finishing the term with scheduling guest speakers, noting that Mary Opperman would be joining on March 2nd. A. Haenlin-Mott stated that the Committee has been working with Wendy Treat to create a new agenda format for meetings and that the EA will be holding another forum to occur sometime soon to address any staff concerns.
   b. Communications, Outreach, and Recognition Committee - E. Miller
      1. E. Miller stated the Committee is working on naming an awardee for the Staff Award for Integrity and Inclusion by narrowing nominees down to a top 4. E. Miller stated that the call for nominations for the George Peter award is also occurring, and that the EA Voice Newsletter will also get released.
   c. Employee Welfare Committee - K. Mahoney
      1. B. Fortenberry stated that a final draft for a resolution to improve the onboarding experience is almost ready to be released and noted parking and traffic concerns for North campus. B. Fortenberry noted the Committee’s conversation centering on humanity and how we can promote humanity and culture for the community.

VII. Liaison Reports
   a. University Assembly - B. Fortenberry
      1. B. Fortenberry stated that the Earth Source Heating Group presented on shifting to new sources of energy.

VIII. New Business
   a. No new business.

IX. Adjournment
   a. B. Fortenberry motioned to adjourn. L. Zacharias seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 1:17.
Respectfully Submitted,
Office of the Assemblies
Resolution 3: Enhancements to the Cornell University Onboarding Process

Abstract: The sponsors of this resolution seek positive change in the Onboarding experience for Cornell University staff of all levels.

Sponsored by: Brandon Fortenberry, Derrick Michael Barrett, Jackie Creque, Jeremy Ross Stewart, Randi Rainbow

Reviewed by: Employee Assembly Welfare Committee, 2/2/2022

Whereas, Cornell University employs over 7,500 benefits eligible staff on 5 different campuses and various extension operations throughout New York State and beyond, and

Whereas, Cornell University hires/promotes nearly 1,000 new benefits eligible staff annually between ten colleges, eight schools and myriad departments and divisions spread throughout these campuses, colleges, schools and various extension operations, and

Whereas, Cornell’s onboarding have a very limited number staff that are solely responsible for welcoming and educating these staff to and about the University’s benefits, policies and many more aspects of University work, and

Whereas, current central onboarding experience is a one-hour (or more) meeting with the Onboarding team to finalize benefits and get an introduction to other systems (noting that additional time is made available if requested), and

Whereas, Cornell University relies on both central and local Human Resources organizations to coordinate with the hiring, onboarding and retention of the over 7,500 staff in addition to over 1,000 new hires/promotions annually, and

Whereas, staff members changing employee status often miss information in the transition which can greatly impact one's sense of belonging and desire to remain at Cornell University, and
Whereas, Forbes Magazine\(^1\) states that more than four-and-a-half-million people quit their jobs in 2021, and despite the available jobs far outnumbering employable people, employers will have to compete to “not only recruit new employees, but to retain those that they already have”, and

Whereas, individual areas employ a variety of homegrown and purchased technology solutions, tracking mechanisms, onboarding requirements and other aspects of the welcoming experience, and

Whereas, benefits change as staff continue their careers over time; including paid time off, vacation balances, retirement contributions, educational opportunities, dependent care tuition reimbursement and more, and

Whereas, Indeed.com\(^2\) identifies that a “buddy system” (herein referred to as a “Belonging Ambassador”) can connect new employees with peers and colleagues to help welcome new employees, boost confidence, increase productivity and improve retention, and

Whereas, a Belonging Ambassador\(^3\) system can be staffed by volunteers and can include a formal documented process that outlines the Belonging Ambassador’s responsibilities and content to be discussed in the new employee’s first few months at Cornell University, and

Whereas, a Belonging Ambassador system would encourage the new employee to share tips, tools, knowledge, and techniques they learned from previous work experience with the goal of incorporating new ideas and technologies that enhance the organization, and

Whereas, Cornell University has a mission to focus our collective efforts on creating a campus community where individuals from diverse backgrounds and life experiences can both contribute and thrive. (https://diversity.cornell.edu/belonging).

---

\(^1\) Carosa, C. (2022, January 28). Consider this before being a part of the 'great resignation'. Forbes. Retrieved February 1, 2022, from https://www.forbes.com/sites/chriscarosa/2022/01/27/consider-this-before-being-a-part-of-the-great-resignation/?sh=5e2457e775b5

\(^2\) Indeed for employers. (2022). Creating a Buddy System in the Workplace. Retrieved February 1, 2022, from https://www.indeed.com/hire/c/info/buddy-system?gclid=Cj0KCQiAw9qOBhC-ARIsAG-rdn4jAwxSw5xWg1Fc7HeKEuSPzbQp2OEknSP22AaKDM9D0fOheRFMosaAn5jEALwwcB&aceid=2

Be it therefore resolved, Cornell University should seek to enhance the onboarding experience and to extend it to a minimum of a one-year program of engagement with new employees and employees experiencing a change in status.

Be it further resolved, Cornell University should look to utilize a standardized technology system that would allow easy access to required, recommended and quality of life resources and information for all staff members throughout their career at Cornell University.

Be it further resolved, Cornell University should seek to implement a Belonging Ambassador system to connect staff with peer colleagues to help orient them to Cornell University processes, systems, and landscapes.

Be it further resolved, a Belonging Ambassador system should serve as a model for knowledge sharing and the incorporation of new ideas, technologies, and enhancements for the organization.

Be it finally resolved, that Cornell University should prioritize, in terms of both financial and time investments, the onboarding and retention of current and new staff members to ensure that operations can effectively attract, hire, and retain a talented diverse pool of team members to Cornell University.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brandon Fortenberry
Student and Campus Life Employee Assembly Representative